(81)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Single Bench : Hon'ble Shri H.L. Dattu, CJ.

Writ Petition No. 464 of 1991.

Petitioner G.L. Thakur, son of Shri R.S. Thakur, resident of Sunder Nagar, Raipur, M.P.

Vs.

Respondents

1. The Chairman, Chhattisgarh State Industrial Development Corporation, B-4, M.R. Colony, Shalendra Nagar, Raipur, C.G.
2. Managing Director, Chhattisgarh State Industrial Development Corporation, B-4, M.R. Colony, Shalendra Nagar, Raipur, C.G.
3. The State of Chhattisgarh, Through-Secretary, Ministry of Industries, D.K.S. Bhawan, Mantralaya, Raipur, C.G.
4. Shri D.K. Kulshetra, A.E.
5. Shri A.K. Dubey, A.E.
6. Shri M.K. Agarwal, A.E.
7. Shri S.K. Soni, A.E.

Nos. 4 to 7 are through the Managing Director, M.P.A.K.V.N., Raipur, M.P.

Petition under Articles 226 of the Constitution of India.

Present: Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri Ayaz Naved, counsel for respondents No. 1 and 2,
Shri S. S. Rajput, counsel for respondents No. 4 to 7.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 4th May, 2007)

The issue raised in this writ petition lies in a narrow compass. The petitioner requests this Court to direct the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner for promotion to the next higher cadre.

(2) It appears that before approaching this Court, the petitioner had filed a representation before the respondents inter alia

seeking certain reliefs. Since the request made by the petitioner was not granted by the respondents within a reasonable time, the petitioner is before this court.

(3) In my opinion, whenever an employee has any grievance with regard to the service conditions and if he requests the employer to consider the same, it is expected of the employer to consider the same and pass appropriate orders. Since that has not been done, appropriate direction requires to be issued to the respondents. Accordingly, the following:

Order

(i) The petition is disposed of.

(ii) A direction is issued to the respondents to consider the representation said to have been filed by the petitioner for the redressal of his grievances if it is available in their file, If not, the petitioner shall make one more representation before the respondents within 30 days from today for redressal of his grievances . If and when such representation is made, the respondents are directed to consider the same in accordance with law. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
Chief Justice